

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

# **Requestor Name and Address**

ST DAVID'S REHABILITATION HOSPITAL 600 NW PARKWAY SUITE 124 SAN ANTONIO TX 78249

**Respondent Name** 

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

Box Number 54

MFDR Tracking Number

MFDR Date Received

M4-13-3058-01

JULY 17, 2013

## REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "On or about 03/28/13, St. David's Rehab received a denial stating the inpatient rehab authorization number they issued us was for 7 days and we billed for 10."

Amount in Dispute: \$29,160.16

## RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "Texas Mutual argues no additional payment is due and the requestor has not met its burden to prove additional payment is warranted."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Co.

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
August 6, 2012 through August 16, 2012	Rehabilitation Hospital Services	\$29,160.16	\$0.00

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 sets forth general provisions related to medical reimbursement.
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth provisions regarding reimbursement policies and guidelines.
- 4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - CAC-16-Claim/service lacks information which is needed for adjudication. At least one remark code must be provided (may be comprised of either the remittance advice remark code or NCPDP reject reason code).
  - 225-The submitted documentation does not support the service being billed. We will re-evaluate this upon receipt of clarifying information.
  - Please note: Texas Mutual has no record of preauthorization for dates after 8/13/12.
  - CAC-18-Duplicate claim/service.
  - CAC-217-Based on payer reasonable and customary fees, no maximum allowable defined by legislated fee

- arrangement. (Note: To be used for Workers' Compensation Only).
- CAC-97-The benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that has already been adjudicated.
- 217-The value of this procedure is included in the value of another procedure performed on this date.
- 224-Duplicate charge.
- 426-Reimbursed to fair and reasonable.
- CAC-29-The time limit for filing has expired.
- 731-Per 133.20 provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95<sup>th</sup> day after the date the service. For services on or after 9/1/05.

### **Findings**

- 1. This dispute relates to services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective March 1, 2008, 33 Texas Register 626, which requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline or a negotiated contract, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection 134.1(f), which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement shall: (1) be consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensure that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) be based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and/or values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
- 2. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 3. Former 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(O), effective May 31, 2012, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) or §134.503 of this title (relating to Pharmacy Fee Guideline) when the dispute involves health care for which the division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) or reimbursement rate, as applicable." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor is seeking full reimbursement of the billed charges.
  - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that 100% of total billed charges is fair and reasonable in accordance with Rule 134.1.
  - The requestor does not discuss or explain how 100% of total billed charges supports a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
  - The requestor did not provide documentation to demonstrate how it determined its usual and customary charges for the disputed services.
  - Documentation of the amount of reimbursement received for these same or similar services was not presented for review.
  - The Division has previously found, as stated in the adoption preamble to the former Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, that "hospital charges are not a valid indicator of a hospital's costs of providing services nor of what is being paid by other payors" (22 Texas Register 6271). The Division further considered alternative methods of reimbursement that use hospital charges as their basis; such methods were rejected because they "allow the hospitals to affect their reimbursement by inflating their charges" (22 Texas Register 6268-6269). Therefore, the use of a hospital's "usual and customary" charges cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
  - The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a percentage
    of a hospital's billed charges does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was
    considered and rejected by the Division in the adoption preamble to the Division's former Acute Care
    Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 that:

A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources.

Therefore, a reimbursement amount that is calculated based upon a percentage of a hospital's billed charges

- cannot be favorably considered when no other data or documentation was submitted to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that payment of the amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in this dispute.
- The requestor did not submit nationally recognized published studies or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments to support the requested reimbursement.
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

### Conclusion

**Authorized Signature** 

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

		10/17/2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

# YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 383*3, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.